

Cheryl C. Rouse, Esq. (CA Bar #118313)
Norman P. Bahlert, Esq. (CA Bar #135693)
LAW OFFICES OF ROUSE & BAHLERT
345 Franklin Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: (415) 575-9444
Fax: (415) 575-9440

Attorneys for Xerox Education Services, Inc.,
as Successor to ACS Education Services

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SANTA ROSA DIVISION**

IN RE: GARRET ALLEN MOORE and) CHAPTER 7
SUSAN MARIE MOORE) CASE NO. . 11-13325
DEBTORS.)
GARRET ALLEN MOORE and) ADV. PRO. NO. . 13-1174
SUSAN MARIE MOORE)
PLAINTIFFS,) DEFENDANT XEROX EDUCATION
vs.) SERVICES, INC.'S, AS SUCCESSOR TO
ACS EDUCATION SERVICES, ANSWER) TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT TO
N.A., NCO FINANCIAL, and NATIONAL) DETERMINE DISCHARGEABILITY OF A
COLLEGIATE STUDENT LOAN TRUST) CERTAIN DEBT AS A MEDICAL LOAN
2003-1) AND NOT A STUDENT LOAN
DEFENDANTS.)

Xerox Education Services, Inc., as Successor to ACS Education Services (“Xerox”) files this Answer to First Amended Complaint to Determine Dischargeability of a Certain Debt as a Medical Loan and Not a Student Loan (the “Complaint”), and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 1 of the Complaint.

2. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
3. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

4. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
5. Defendant admits that Xerox Education Services, Inc., as Successor to ACS Education Services, was the servicer of a student loan, but otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 5 of the Complaint. Defendant denies that Plaintiff correctly named ACS Education Services as a defendant in this case.

6. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 6 of the Complaint, and therefore denies these allegations.

7. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 7 of the Complaint, and therefore denies these allegations.

8. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 8 of the Complaint, and therefore denies these allegations.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

[11 U.S.C. §523(a)(8)(A)(I), 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(8)(A)ii, 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(8)(B)]

9. Defendant incorporates by reference their responses in paragraphs 1 through 8.

10. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 10 of the Complaint. Defendant did not loan any funds to Debtors. Defendant merely acted as the servicer of a student loan to Debtors.

11. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 11 of the Complaint.

12. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 12 of the Complaint. Defendant did not loan any funds to Debtors. Defendant merely acted as the servicer of a student loan to Debtors.

13. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 13 of the Complaint. Defendant did not loan any funds to Debtors. Defendant merely acted as the servicer of a student loan to Debtors.

14. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 14 of the Complaint. Defendant did not loan any funds to Debtors. Defendant merely acted as the servicer of a student loan to Debtors.

15. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 15 of the Complaint. Defendant did not loan any funds to Debtors. Defendant merely acted as the servicer of a student loan to Debtors.

16. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 16 of the Complaint, including subparagraphs (a) through (c). Defendant did not loan any funds to Debtors. Defendant merely acted as the servicer of a student loan to Debtors.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Fraudulent Inducement

17. Defendant incorporates by reference their responses in paragraphs 1 through 16.

18. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 18 of the Complaint. Defendant did not loan any funds to Debtors. Defendant merely acted as the servicer of a student loan to Debtors.

19. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 19 of the Complaint. Defendant did not loan any funds to Debtors. Defendant merely acted as the servicer of a student loan to Debtors.

20. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 20 of the Complaint.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Misrepresentation

21. Defendant incorporates by reference their responses in paragraphs 1 through 20.

22. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 22 of the Complaint. Defendant did not loan any funds to Debtors. Defendant merely acted as the servicer of a student loan to Debtors.

23. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 23 of the Complaint. Defendant did not loan any funds to Debtors. Defendant merely acted as the servicer of a student loan to Debtors.

24. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 24 of the Complaint.

PRAAYER

25. The allegations in the Prayer on pages 4 and 5 of the Complaint, including paragraphs (1) through (6), are denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendant requests that the Court deny all relief sought in the Complaint, award Defendant its costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, and award Defendant any other such relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: April 25, 2014

LAW OFFICES OF ROUSE & BAHLERT

By: /s/Cheryl C. Rouse
Cheryl C. Rouse
Attorneys for Attorneys for Xerox Education
Services, Inc., as Successor to ACS Education
Services